
HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

March 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson
2375 130th Avenue NE
Suite 200
Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy
Bonneville Power Administration
Environment, Fish and Wildlife
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2
Contract Number 95AC49468

March 1997

CONTENTS

Section 1 Executive Summary	1-1
Section 2 Facility Description	2-1
Section 3 Compliance Status.....	3-1
Section 4 Remedial Actions	4-1
Section 5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries	5-1
Section 6 Annual Operating Expenditures	6-1

List of Tables

Table

1	Summary Program Information for Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
2	Compliance with Performance Measures: Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
3	Remedial Actions Required at Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
4	Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
5	Annual Operating Expenses: Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
6	Annual Operating Expenses - Cowlitz Trout Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program. The hatchery is located on the Cowlitz River about 42 miles above the mouth. The Cowlitz River is a north bank tributary of the lower Columbia River, just downstream of Longview, Washington. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of winter steelhead, summer steelhead, sea-run cutthroat, tiger muskie, and channel catfish.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.

- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Results

The Cowlitz Trout facility includes three ponds for adult holding, 24 concrete raceways, 6 intermediate concrete raceways, 4 rearing lakes, and incubation facilities. Cowlitz Hatchery began operation in 1967 as a mitigation facility for dams blocking the Cowlitz River. The goal of the hatchery is to produce adult winter steelhead, summer steelhead, and sea-run cutthroat for sport fisheries. The mitigation goal is to produce 191,000 pounds of smolts and return 38,600 adult steelhead and sea-run cutthroat to the river.

The Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal, needed to document its adult contribution and eyed-egg to fry survival, and needed to establish a pre-spawning goal and determine compliance. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the dissolved oxygen criteria, screen approach criteria, water quality monitoring requirements, predation control facilities, release facilities requirements, and pathology-free water criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan. The hatchery was not meeting all of the transportation, alarm, and food storage requirements. The hatchery was not meeting the flow criteria for incubation and needed to collect rearing information for the 3 acre rearing lakes. The hatchery was not collecting an unbiased, representative sample of adults. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Check water alarms daily
- Collect unbiased, representative sample of adults
- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Construct bird netting over 857,000 sf of rearing area
- Construct new intake with an additional 25 sf of screen area
- Develop alarm log
- Develop approved genetic M&E plan
- Develop flow and loading numbers for 3-acre rearing lakes
- Develop rearing criteria for shallow troughs used for rearing
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Document adult contribution
- Document eyed-egg to fry survival
- Establish pre-spawning survival goal and determine compliance status
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle cab
- Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transport
- Improve turbidity in 5-acre rearing ponds
- Increase adult returns
- Install 22 additional incubators or reduce loading

- Install alarms for large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds
- Install security alarms
- Install telephone pagers
- Insulate demand feeders
- Monitor DO in transport tank
- Monitor TGP and record
- Rebuild aerators
- Replace smolt discharge channel
- Review IHOT Operations Plan and follow
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name:	Cowlitz Trout Hatchery
Stock/Species:	Winter Steelhead Summer Steelhead Sea-run Cutthroat
Operating Agency:	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
Funding Agency:	Tacoma City Light
Location:	The hatchery is located on the Cowlitz River about 42 miles above the river mouth. The Cowlitz River is a north bank tributary of the lower Columbia River, just downstream of Longview, Washington.
Address:	1182 Spencer Road Winlock, WA 98590
Hatchery Manager:	Mr. Vince Janson
Phone:	(360) 864-6121
Fax:	(360) 864-6122
Purpose:	Cowlitz Hatchery began operation in 1967 as a mitigation facility for dams blocking the Cowlitz River. The goal of the hatchery is to produce adult winter steelhead, summer steelhead, and sea-run cutthroat for sport fisheries. The mitigation goal is to produce 191,000 pounds of smolts and return 38,600 adult steelhead and sea-run cutthroat to the river.
Production Goal:	Winter Steelhead Produce 660,000 smolts for on-station release Produce 500,000 fingerlings for upriver release Produce 60,000 fingerlings for Friends of Cowlitz cooperative Provide 50,000 eyed eggs to co-op programs Summer Steelhead Produce 400,000 smolts for on-station release plus 30,000 for Friends of Cowlitz cooperative Sea-run Cutthroat Produce 120,000 smolts for on-station release

Water Supply:

Water rights total 30,855 gpm from three sources: two well sources and the Cowlitz River. The two well sources provide a combined flow of about 1,500 gpm. In 1991, a 10,000 gpm ozone water treatment system was constructed at the hatchery for control of *Ceratomyxa shasta*.

Facilities:

Adult Holding:	3 concrete adult holding raceways - 7,940 cf each
Incubation:	88 shallow concrete troughs
Early Rearing:	88 shallow concrete troughs
Raceways:	24 concrete raceways - 5,270 cf each 6 concrete intermediate raceways - 2,388 cf each
Rearing Ponds:	4 rearing lakes - 1,624,000 cf each Net pens
Satellite Facilities:	None

Section 3

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report).¹ The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Cowlitz Trout Hatchery was conducted on March 18, 1997.

¹Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

The following is the five-step audit process:

1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (✓) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- **N/A** (not applicable)
- **Yes** (in compliance)
- **?** (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

Component	Location of Adult Holding, Spawning, Incubation, and Rearing					
	Cowlitz Trout Hatchery	Cowlitz Salmon Hatchery	Friend of Cowlitz net pens			
Adult Collection	✓	✓				
Adult Holding	✓					
Spawning	✓					
Fertilization	✓					
Incubation	✓					
green-to-eyed	✓					
eyed-to-hatch	✓					
Rearing	✓					
fry	✓					
fingerlings	✓					
smolts	✓	✓	✓			
Acclimation/release	✓	✓	✓			

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin management plan?		✓			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and FERC #902 Tacoma City Light	
ie hatchery operating under a current hatchery operational plan?		✓			IHOT Operations Plan	
is it understood by staff?				✓	Do not follow IHOT Operations Plan	Review IHOT Operations Plan and follow
is it being followed?				✓	See above	
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
ult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery				✓	Complete data not provided	Document adult contribution
ult pre-spawning survival as compared with established goal			✓		No goal	Establish pre-spawning goal and determine compliance status
take as compared with established hatchery goal				✓	Review of records; in compliance 2 out of last 4 years	Increase adult returns
en-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with established goal		✓			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
d-egg to fry survival as compared with established goal			✓		No data	Document eyed-egg to fry survival
to smolt survival as compared with established goal		✓			Review of records; in compliance 4 out of last 4 years	
duction as compared with established goal		✓			Review of records; in compliance 4 out of last 4 years	
cent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with established goal				✓	Review of records; in compliance 0 out of last 4 years	Increase adult returns
nber of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults meet basinwide needs	✓				Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Temperature						
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for spawning?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for incubation?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for rearing?				✓	Heat 13,000 gpm by 9 °F	None
Dissolved gases						
Is the oxygen level near saturation?				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Rebuild aerators
Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			✓		No data	Monitor TGP and record
Chemistry						
Ammonia (un-ionized)			✓		No data	Run analysis
Carbon Dioxide			✓		See above	See above
Chlorine			✓		See above	See above
pH			✓		See above	See above
Copper			✓		See above	See above
Hydrogen Sulfide			✓		See above	See above
Iron			✓		See above	See above
Manganese			✓		See above	See above
Turbidity						
Does your turbidity meet the criteria?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Alkalinity and hardness						
Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			✓		No data	Run analysis
Nitrite						
Does your nitrite meet the criteria?			✓		No data	Run analysis
Pesticide Contaminants						
Aldrin			✓		No data	Run analysis
Dieldrin			✓		See above	See above
Diieldrin			✓		See above	See above
Heptachlor			✓		See above	See above
Chlordane			✓		See above	See above
Methoxychlor			✓		See above	See above
Endane			✓		See above	See above
Malathion			✓		See above	See above
Parathion			✓		See above	See above
Diseases						
What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
Adult holding				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
Incubation		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Early rearing		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Rearing				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
Net pens				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Alarm Systems						
Do the following areas have alarms?						
Intake		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarms for large rearing ponds and adult holding
Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Incubation facilities		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Quarantine areas and facilities		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Water treatment systems		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Security				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install security alarms
Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite residences?		✓			Discussion	
Are water flow alarms checked daily?				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Check water flow alarms daily
Are all other alarms checked weekly?		✓			Discussion	
Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and maintenance requirements?				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log
Are telephone pagers used?				✓	Discussion	Install telephone pagers
Adult collection and holding facilities						
Do you meet the adult holding criteria?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
abitation facilities Type 1: <u>Shallow troughs</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program? Type 2: _____ Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	✓			✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See remedial action presented under PM #18
ring facilities Type 1: <u>Shallow troughs</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program? Type 2: <u>Raceways (10'x91')</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program? Type 3: <u>Raceways (20'x90')</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program? Type 4: <u>Rearing Lake (5 acres)</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program? Type 5: <u>Rearing Lake (3 acres)</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program? Type 6: <u>Net pens</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓		No criteria Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion No data Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Develop criteria for shallow troughs for rearing Develop flow and loading number for 3 acre rearing lakes

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Screening facilities						
Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Construct new intake with an additional 25 sf of screen area
Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
Are rearing containers double screened for fish that should not be released to adjacent water?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Predator control facilities						
Are your predation control facilities effective?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Construct bird netting over 857,000 sf of rearing area

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
d storage facilities and quality control						
Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods (dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) follow food manufacturer's recommendations?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Does a regional quality control officer oversee production procedures and monitor:						
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				✓	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				✓	Discussion	See above
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				✓	Discussion	See above
Are the foods stored and handled according to the following criteria?						
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery.		✓			Discussion	
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		✓			Discussion	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		✓			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		✓			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).				✓	Discussion	Insulate demand feeders

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Release facilities						
Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not subjected to adverse conditions?				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Replace discharge channel
Pollution abatement facilities						
Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		✓			Discussion	
Transportation facilities						
Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT performance measures for transportation practices?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Broodstock selection practices						
Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)	✓				Existing program; does not apply	
Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	✓				Existing program; does not apply	
Spawning practices						
Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Incubation practices						
Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?				✓	Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan	Develop specific incubation standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
Are incubation practices written?				✓	See above	See above
Incubation Type 1: <u>Shallow troughs</u> (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Install additional incubators or reduce loading
Incubation Type 2: _____ (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	✓					

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Rearing practices						
specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?				✓	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan	Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
rearing practices written?				✓	See above	See above
Rearing Unit Type 1: <u>Shallow troughs</u> (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?			✓		No criteria	Develop rearing criteria for shallow troughs
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			✓		See above	See above
Rearing Unit Type 2: <u>Raceways (10'x91')</u> (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See above
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			✓		Review of records/Discussion	“
Rearing Unit Type 3: <u>Raceways (20'x90')</u> (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?			✓		Review of records/Discussion	“
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			✓		Review of records/Discussion	“
Rearing Unit Type 4: <u>Rearing lake (5 acres)</u> (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?			✓		Review of records/Discussion	“
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			✓		Review of records/Discussion	“
Rearing Unit Type 5: <u>Rearing lake (3 acres)</u> (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?			✓		No data	Collect rearing data for 3 acre rearing lakes and determine compliance status
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			✓		See above	See above
Rearing Unit Type 6: <u>Net pens</u> (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	✓				Not relevant to net pens	
Smolt quality						
Do you produce a high quality smolt?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Health management practices						
Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted? (PM #26)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Are the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? (PM #27)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h) and are the sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h
Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
Dissolved gases				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
Chemistry			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
Turbidity		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Alkalinity and hardness			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
Nitrite			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? (PM #31)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p>Do hatchery performance meet requirements defined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas?</p> <p>Percent smoltification</p> <p>Do you measure percent smoltification?</p> <p>Do you have a smoltification goal?</p> <p>Did you meet the smoltification criteria?</p>		✓ ✓		✓	<p>Discussion</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>Discussion</p>	Reduce turbidity in 5 acres rearing ponds to improve feeding
<p>Rearing density (prior to release)</p> <p>Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to release?</p>			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
<p>Disease condition (at release)</p> <p>Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to release?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p>Release number (at release)</p> <p>Did you meet the release number goal?</p>				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Improve turbidity in 5 acres rearing ponds
<p>Release size (at release)</p> <p>Did you meet the size goal?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p>Release date (at release)</p> <p>Did you meet the release date goal?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p>Release location (at release)</p> <p>Did you release the fish at the specified location?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p>Release location (at release)</p> <p>Are the fish reared in the subbasin?</p> <p>Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?</p>		✓ ✓			<p>Discussion</p> <p>Discussion</p>	
<p>Release strategy appropriate for the program?</p>		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Transportation facilities						
Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?		✓			Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle cab
Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 100 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?		✓			Discussion	
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?		✓			Discussion	
Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)?				✓	Discussion	
Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions?		✓			Discussion	
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes		✓			Discussion	
Do personnel wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water?		✓			Discussion	
Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an inspection and service prior to the release season?		✓			Discussion	
Is a daily service inspection completed before starting pump and leaving for the day?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Transportation facilities						
Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior to loading?		✓			Discussion	
Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to loading fish in the transport unit?		✓			Discussion	
Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes to 1 hour after loading?		✓			Discussion	
When fish are active and systems are functioning properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and maintained at approximately 8 ppm?				✓	Discussion	Monitor DO in transport tank
Is water temperature in the transportation unit maintained within the 42-48 °F range?				✓	Discussion	Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transport
Do fish releasing procedures include the following criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		✓			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		✓			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Evaluation practices						
Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies?						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		✓			Discussion	
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		✓			Discussion	
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		✓			Discussion	
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		✓			Discussion	
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ining practices						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as described below? Conduct visit at least monthly Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot. Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager. Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form. Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment. Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility.		✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓			Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	
all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring visits being completed as described below? Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of reportable viral pathogens. Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> . Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		✓ ✓ ✓			Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Are hatchery sanitation procedures accepted?						
Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for incubation and early rearing?		✓			Discussion	
Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and being followed as described below?						
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
water quality parameters being followed?						
Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
Dissolved gases				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
Chemistry			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
Turbidity		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Alkalinity and hardness			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
Nitrite			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
io to PM #21						
incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #18
Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
io to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p>Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin management plan?</p> <p>Refer to subbasin plan PM #1</p>		✓			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and FERC # 902	
<p>Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery operational plan?</p> <p>Refer to operational plan PM #2</p>				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #2
<p>Is hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?</p> <p>Refer to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Does the hatchery program meet requirements established in the regional hatchery policies and basin planning documents in the following areas: species, stock, broodstock collection location, broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy, spawning and egg-take protocols?						
Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following?						
Species protocols (PM #1)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Stock protocols (PM #1)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #41
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p>Do the hatchery's performance meet requirements defined in the regional hatchery policies and in the subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas:</p> <p>Percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of release?</p>						
Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
Rearing density (PM #22a2)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Disease condition (PM #22a3)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Number at release (PM #22a4)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a4
Size at release (PM #22a5)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Date of release (PM #22a6)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Location of release (PM #22a7)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p>Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the subbasin?</p> <p>PM #22b</p>		✓			Discussion	
<p>Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?</p> <p>PM #22c</p>		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
new programs, has a broodstock collection plan developed?						
Is the broodstock collection plan written?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
For a non-captive broodstock program:	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
For a captive broodstock program:						
Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Were full-sib crosses avoided?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
a new program, was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?						
Is a donor selection plan written?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
existing programs, were the broodstock collection cedures followed?						
Is the broodstock collection plan written?		✓			Review broodstock collection plan	
Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:						
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?				✓	Start and end on specific date	Collect unbiased, representative sample of adults
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?		✓			Discussion	
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Is the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratio, and fertilization protocols used?						
Are the spawning protocols written?		✓			Review spawning protocols	
Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?		✓			Review of records	
Was the appropriate number of spawners used?		✓			Discussion	
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?		✓			Discussion	
Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?		✓			Discussion	
Were the fertilization protocols followed?		✓			Discussion	
If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Where a genetics monitoring and evaluation program is available?				✓	Guideline provided; nothing specific for this hatchery provided	Develop approved genetics M&E plan
Does the plan address the following elements listed in HOT:						
Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				✓		See above
Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				✓		See above
Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				✓		See above
Is the program understood and followed by staff?				✓		See above

Section 4

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Type	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, suggestions developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates ($\pm 40\%$).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Increase adult returns	----	4c, 4h
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Review IHOT Operations Plan and follow	----	2
Document adult contribution	----	4a
Establish pre-spawning survival goal and determine compliance status	----	4b
Document eyed-egg to fry survival	----	4e
Check water alarms daily	----	6
Develop alarm log	----	6
Develop rearing criteria for shallow troughs used for rearing	----	9,18
Develop flow and loading numbers for 3-acre rearing lakes	----	9,19
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation	----	12
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan	----	18-19
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transport vehicle cab	----	23
Monitor DO in transport tank	----	23
Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transport	----	23
Collect unbiased, representative sample of adults	----	41
Develop approved genetic M&E plan	----	43

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Monitor TGP and record	----	5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants	----	5c, 5e-5g
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Rebuild aerators	\$50,000	5b
Install alarms for large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds	\$10,000	6
Install security alarms	\$10,000	6
Install telephone pagers	\$5,000	6
Construct new intake with an additional 25 sf of screen area	\$500,000	10
Construct bird netting over 857,000 sf of rearing area	\$860,000	11
Insulate demand feeders	\$10,000	12
Replace smolt discharge channel	\$60,000	13
Install 22 additional incubators or reduce loading	\$150,000	18
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Improve turbidity in 5-acre rearing ponds	----	22a1, 22a4

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

**Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:
Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead**

Year	Fisheries ¹ (Broodyear)	Spawning Grounds ¹ (Broodyear)	Hatchery ¹ (Broodyear)	Total Combined Contribution ² (Broodyear)	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
1984					
1985					
1986					
1987					
1988					
1989					
1990	14,482	?	No broodyear information provided	?	1.63
1991	22,537	?	No broodyear information provided	?	1.88
1992	16,350	?	No broodyear information provided	?	1.91

¹ Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

² Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Cowlitz Trout Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

Hatchery	1993	1994	1995
1. Cowlitz Trout Hatchery	\$454,998	\$517,515	\$509,592
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$454,998	\$517,515	\$509,592

The total expenditures for the Cowlitz Trout Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, and 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Cowlitz Trout Hatchery

Program	1993	1994	1995
1. Winter Steelhead	\$454,998	\$517,515	\$509,592
2. Summer Steelhead	\$257,242	\$191,200	\$339,404
3. Sea-run cutthroat	\$90,838	\$141,063	\$123,508
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$803,884	\$849,778	\$972,505

**Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Cowlitz Trout Hatchery -
Winter Steelhead**

Expenditure Occurring at Cowlitz Trout Hatchery

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$336,008	\$381,337	\$366,802
Operational Costs	\$215,590	\$183,130	\$248,820
Capital Costs	\$16,769	\$35,586	\$98,059
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs	\$235,517	\$249,725	\$258,824
Total Hatchery Costs	\$803,884	\$849,778	\$972,505
Source of Funds			
Tacoma City Light	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	176,441	161,626	138,600
Total Production (lb)	311,591	265,480	264,270
Program as Percent of Total	56.6%	60.9%	52.4%
Program Costs	\$454,998	\$517,515	\$509,592

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Cowlitz Trout Hatchery by Program**Winter Steelhead**

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$336,008	\$381,337	\$366,802
Operational Costs	\$215,590	\$183,130	\$248,820
Capital Costs	\$16,769	\$35,586	\$98,059
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs	\$235,517	\$249,725	\$258,824
Total Hatchery Costs	\$803,884	\$849,778	\$972,505
Source of Funds			
Tacoma City Light	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	176,441	161,626	138,600
Total Production (lb)	311,591	265,480	264,270
Program as Percent of Total	56.6%	60.9%	52.4%
Program Costs	\$454,998	\$517,515	\$509,592

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Cowlitz Trout Hatchery by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$336,008	\$381,337	\$366,802
Operational Costs	\$215,590	\$183,130	\$248,820
Capital Costs	\$16,769	\$35,586	\$98,059
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs	\$235,517	\$249,725	\$258,824
Total Hatchery Costs	\$803,884	\$849,778	\$972,505
Source of Funds			
Tacoma City Light	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	99,819	59,696	92,223
Total Production (lb)	311,591	265,480	264,270
Program as Percent of Total	32.0%	22.5%	34.9%
Program Costs	\$257,242	\$191,200	\$339,404

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Cowlitz Trout Hatchery by Program

Sea-run Cutthroat

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$336,008	\$381,337	\$366,802
Operational Costs	\$215,590	\$183,130	\$248,820
Capital Costs	\$16,769	\$35,586	\$98,059
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs	\$235,517	\$249,725	\$258,824
Total Hatchery Costs	\$803,884	\$849,778	\$972,505
Source of Funds			
Tacoma City Light	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	35,331	44,158	33,447
Total Production (lb)	311,591	265,480	264,270
Program as Percent of Total	11.3	16.6	12.7
Program Costs	\$90,838	\$141,063	\$123,508

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.